

The Hidden Transcript of Intelligence Community Inspector General Michael Atkinson Testimony Is the Key to Reveal CIA Targeting of President Donald Trump

November 5, 2025 | [Sundance](#) | [440 Comments](#)

In December of 2016, President Obama turned to Director of National Intelligence James Clapper and CIA Director John Brennan with a request [to change the Intelligence Community Assessment \(ICA\)](#) and blame the Russians for election interference in the prior presidential election. Brennan gave the task of assembling the fraudulent intel to a CIA analyst named **Julia Gurganus**.



Subsequently, inside the CIA the [National Intelligence Council \(NIC\)](#) and the Directorate of Analysis began working on a pretext that would create the impression for the misleading Intelligence Community Assessment (ICA) as demanded by Obama, Clapper and Brennan; ultimately it was constructed by Julia Gurganus.

Inside the National Intelligence Council, one of the key figures who helped create the ICA fabrication was a CIA analyst named **Eric Ciaramella**.

You might remember the name Eric Ciaramella from the 2019 impeachment effort

against President Trump. However, in 2016 Eric Ciaramella was a CIA deputy national intelligence officer for Russia and Eurasia on the CIA's National Intelligence Council at the time the fraudulent Intelligence Community Assessment was created.

♦ The key point to remember here is that **Eric Ciaramella was one of the fabricators of the fraudulent ICA**; constructed late December 2016 and presented in January 2017 as part of the foundation for the Trump–Russia narrative.

Earlier this year, DNI Tulsi Gabbard began to drill down onto the issue of the fraudulent ICA and how it was constructed. Current CIA analysts within the former National Intelligence Council (NIC) and CIA Directorate of Analysis began to notice Tulsi was going to declassify background documents, including the two-year House Intelligence Committee report revealing the fraud. Tulsi Gabbard became a target.

Julia Gurganus was an active government employee at the time Tulsi Gabbard began making inquiries. The CIA (NIC) changed the status of Julia Gurganus in June 2025 to that of a “covert” operative, in an effort to protect Gurganus.

The CIA changed the status of Julia Gurganus in June 2025, reclassifying her as ‘covert’, specifically because of the ODNI’s intent to reveal the fraud within the 2016 Russia election investigation. This, the CIA thought, would forcibly stop DNI Gabbard from exposing Ms. Gurganus and taking action. The 2025 CIA effort did not work.



In late July of this year, DNI Gabbard released the CIA intelligence information that was used in constructing the fraudulent ICA. On July 23rd, Tulsi Gabbard held a press conference alongside Press Secretary Karoline Leavitt and outlined the issues.

In August 2025, DNI Gabbard then declassified and released the CIA work product, and then later removed Julia Gurganus security clearance.

The CIA embeds at the NIC and directorate of analysis were furious, and subsequently leaked a false story to the Wall Street Journal saying DNI Gabbard had compromised a covert CIA operative working in government – a familiar ploy that had worked for them in the past. However, this time it did not work, because her work history clearly showed Julia Gurganus was a known CIA employee.

♦ Key point: Julia Gurganus and Eric Ciaramella both worked on behalf of CIA Director John Brennan to fabricate the fraudulent ICA in 2016. Gurganus was still a CIA employee in August of this year.

Back to Ciaramella...



In 2019 National Security Council (NSC) member Alexander Vindman also responsible for Ukraine, Russia Eurasia affairs, told CIA Analyst Eric Ciaramella a fictional narrative about President Trump pressuring Ukraine President Volodymyr Zelenskyy to provide dirt on Joe Biden in advance of the 2020 election.

Eric Ciaramella then became an “anonymous whistleblower” within the CIA to reveal the story and set up the predicate for the first Trump impeachment effort in late 2019. You might remember the name, because during the impeachment effort anyone who mentioned Eric Ciaramella on social media had their information deleted, and they were blocked from their accounts.

Facebook, Google, META, Instagram, YouTube and Twitter all deleted any mention of Eric Ciaramella as the anonymous whistleblower, and banned any account that posted the name. However, something else was always sketchy about this.

As the story was told, Ciaramella blew the whistle to Intelligence Community Inspector General, Michael Atkinson. It was further said that Atkinson “changed the CIA whistleblower rules” to permit an “anonymous” allegation; thereby protecting Eric Ciaramella.

Knowing, in hindsight, that CIA analyst Eric Ciaramella was one of the main people


who constructed the 2016 fraudulent ICA, suddenly the motive to make him “anonymous” a few years later in 2019 for another stop-Trump effort makes sense.

Until today, the commonly accepted narrative was that ICIG Atkinson *changed the CIA rules arbitrarily*. This is the main narrative as pushed by the media, allowed to permeate by the larger Intelligence Community, and supported by the willful blindness of a complicit Congress.

It never made sense how an IC Inspector General, especially one that involves review of CIA employees/operations, could make such a substantive change in rules for an agency that is opaque by design. There is just no way any IG can make that kind of decision about the CIA without the Director, the Deputy Director and CIA General Counsel being involved.

Someone in DNI or CIA leadership had to sign off on allowing ICIG Atkinson to change the rules and permit a complaint by Eric Ciaramella being turned into an “anonymous complaint.”

◆ Now, things are going to start getting a little dark here, because the implications are serious, and the aspect of ICIG Atkinson’s testimony to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) being sealed is a little more than alarming when you consider what they were trying to do – impeach a sitting USA President on a fabricated issue. Some context is needed.



Inspectors General do not operate in a vacuum. They are authorized to conduct investigative oversight, as an outcome of permissions from the cabinet agency heads themselves. The ICIG office, formerly headed by Michael Atkinson, falls under the authority of the Director of National Intelligence.

As the Inspector General of the Dept of Justice does not operate without the expressed permission of the U.S. Attorney General, so too is it required for the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community to have permission to operate in CIA functions with the expressed permission of the CIA Director.

To give you an example: You might remember when President Obama and Attorney General Eric Holder created the Dept of Justice National Security Division (DOJ-NSD), they did not permit the DOJ Inspector General to have any oversight or review.

The 2009-2017 public reasoning was “national security interests,” as the DOJ-NSD was in charge of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISC) operations as well as Foreign Agent Registration Act (FARA) reviews and investigations. The factual, evidence-based reason was the DOJ-NSD running political surveillance operations using FISA and FARA as weaponized targeting mechanisms to keep track of their political opposition, ie Lawfare. [But that’s another story]

In fact, in 2015 the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) for the DOJ, Michael Horowitz, requested oversight and it was Deputy Attorney General Sally Yates who responded with a lengthy 58-page legal explanation saying, essentially, ‘*nope – not allowed.*’ ([PDF HERE](#)) All of the DOJ is subject to oversight, **except the NSD.**

You see, the Department of Justice’s own Inspector General (Michael Horowitz who opened a January 2017 investigation into the 2016 politicization of the FBI and DOJ) was not allowed to investigate anything that happened within the NSD agency of the Department of Justice. See the ‘*useful arrangement*’? Yeah, Funny that.

It was not until 2018, when the OIG was tasked by then Attorney General Jeff Sessions and President Trump to look into the fraudulent FISA application used against Carter Page, when the OIG was finally given authority to review activity within the Dept of Justice National Security Division.

◆ The two key points here are: #1) ICIG Michael Atkinson does not make unilateral decisions to change the internal rules within the CIA, without the expressed permission of the CIA Director, CIA Deputy Director and CIA General Counsel. #2) The Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) would also know of the changed rules and arrangement therein.

At the time of the impeachment allegation and investigation by the House (Aug to Dec 18, 2019), the CIA Director was **Gina Haspel** (May 21, 2018, to January 20, 2021). The CIA Deputy Director was **Vaughn Bishop**, and the CIA General Counsel was **Courtney Simmons Elwood**. In addition, the Acting DNI was **Joseph Maguire**.

We can reasonably be certain that CIA General Counsel Courtney Elwood and Acting DNI Joseph Maguire did not sign-off on changing the CIA rules permitting an anonymous whistleblower, because [published media reports at the time](#) outline both offices as **NOT supporting** the effort of ICIG Atkinson.

In fact, as the story is told (and investigatively affirmed) CIA Analyst Eric Ciaramella was frustrated because he talked to CIA General Counsel Elwood about the leak from Alexander Vindman, and Elwood did not respond to his claims.

Instead, of following chain-of-command, CIA Analyst Ciaramella went to the House Intelligence Committee Chairman Adam Schiff, and relayed the story as told to him by Vindman. The 2019 conversation between Ciaramella, the CIA analyst who previously fabricated the fraudulent Russia ICA in 2017, and Adam Schiff who fraudulently pushed the Trump-Russia narrative in 2017, took place prior to the CIA whistleblower complaint being filed.

Now we get to the crux of the story.

◆ On October 4, 2019, ICIG Michael Atkinson gave closed-door testimony to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) as part of their impeachment investigation. One of the key questions to Atkinson surrounded the authority of his office changing the CIA whistleblower rules that permitted Eric Ciaramella to remain anonymous.

That Atkinson testimony was then “classified” and sealed under the auspices of “national security” by HPSCI Chairman Adam Schiff, the same guy who Ciaramella talked to before filing the complaint.

If congress, or more importantly the American public, had known CIA Analyst Eric Ciaramella was both the key author of the fraudulent 2016 ICA and the later 2019 CIA

complaint, it's doubtful any impeachment effort would have moved forward.

From within the CIA, Eric Ciaramella was the impeachment narrative creator and the Russian interference narrative creator. In short, a political fabricator of intelligence within the CIA.

Again, ICIG Atkinson could not change the 'whistleblower' regulations on his own. Someone had to sign-off on that, giving him the authority. Additionally, Atkinson a former legal counsel to the Deputy Asst Attorney General within the DOJ-NSD, is not going to go out on such a limb without a cya to protect himself.

The only person likely to give that authority within the structures and confines that operate inside our government was then CIA Director, **Gina Haspel**. The Deputy CIA Director is not going to make that kind of a decision, especially given the circumstances, and the CIA General Counsel was not touching it.

That outline of events means the 2016/2017 CIA 'stop-Trump' operation under CIA Director John Brennan, was effectively continued by CIA Director Gina Haspel in 2019/2020.

[**SIDENOTE:** Now, does the 2020 CIA operation known as the "51 Intelligence Experts" who denied the Hunter Biden laptop story take on context? Now does the recent reaction, the angry outburst by former CIA Director John Brennan about the ICA construct take on some context?]

This is where doors slam and DC officials run out of the room.

This is where '*pretending not to know*' takes on another meaning entirely.

♦ **IMPLICATIONS:** CIA Director Gina Haspel had no way to know if the 2019 impeachment of President Trump was going to be successful. Just as the ICIG needed a CYA to protect himself, so too would Director Haspel want a legal defense mechanism in case the entire fiasco blew up. Enter the only oversight agency that can provide Haspel cover, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence.

Underneath all of these machinations, there's no other way for Director Haspel to protect herself other than to use the primary mechanism within the functions of IC oversight, inform the SSCI chair and vice-chair of her changed rule guidance to ICIG Atkinson. That Occam's Razor scenario puts SSCI chairman ¹Richard Burr and SSCI vice-chair Mark Warner in the silo-system loop. If things blew up, Haspel could always defend herself by pointing to her informing the mechanism for CIA oversight, the SSCI.

- DNI Dan Coats resigned from office when the Trump impeachment effort was announced, August 2019.
- Acting DNI Joseph Maguire was appointed by President Trump to replace Dan Coats.
- Following the impeachment trial, President Donald Trump was acquitted by the Senate on February 5th, 2020.
- On Feb 20, 2020, President Trump replaced acting DNI Joseph Maguire with acting DNI Ric Grenell.
- On February 28, 2020, President Trump nominated John Ratcliffe to be DNI.

- Ratcliffe was confirmed May 26, 2020, and took office.

Before the impeachment effort began, Congressman John Ratcliffe was President Trump's first choice to replace outgoing DNI Dan Coats in 2019. However, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence said they would not confirm John Ratcliffe. President Trump was forced to appoint "acting DNIs."

Somehow, within an unexplained reversal, after the impeachment effort ended, the SSCI had a change of position and agreed to confirm John Ratcliffe.

As the fully confirmed DNI, in 2020 John Ratcliffe would have full control of the ICIG, including an understanding of what took place within the CIA that led to the change in protocol creating the "anonymous whistleblower" complaint: the impeachment origination.

As Chair of the SSCI in 2019, it is highly likely that CIA Director Gina Haspel informed Richard Burr of the change in protocol creating the "anonymous whistleblower" complaint: the impeachment origination. ¹Richard Burr was replaced by Marco Rubio in May 2020.

John Ratcliffe is now CIA Director. Marco Rubio is now National Security Advisor.

The transcript of ICIG Michael Atkinson's testimony remains sealed.

The truth has no agenda.

We have one ally.

I'm doing all I can...

~ SUPPORT CTH RESEARCH HERE ~

Posted in [2025 - Term 2](#), [4th Amendment](#), [AG Pam Bondi](#), [Big Government](#), [Big Stupid Government](#), [CIA](#), [Conspiracy ?](#), [Decepticons](#), [Deep State](#), [Dept Of Justice](#), [Donald Trump](#), [Impeachment](#), [Lawfare](#), [Marco Rubio](#), [media bias](#), [Notorious Liars](#), [President Trump](#), [Professional Idiots](#), [propaganda](#), [Russia](#), [Spygate](#), [Spying](#), [THE BIG UGLY](#), [Uncategorized](#), [USA](#)

Share



ADVERTISEMENT

440 COMMENTS



Kate anon November 6, 2025 11:39 am

Sundance, Will anything ever change in this government. Will anyone be held responsible? It doesn't feel like this Congress, government agencies, insiders, lobbyists be shut out and defanged against Trump and Americans. None of seem to care about the crimes against the President and the Constitution? At this point when criminals are still embedded everywhere, there is no way to restore the Republic.

What is the solution? It's tiring to see how the liars in Congress doing the same thing for years. Seems like a new Revolutionary war needs to defeat the perverted system in the U.S.

One thing that could cut it short, is ban all lobbying in Congress. Congress now serves the lobbyists not the people. If Congress doesn't become millionaires by lobbyists, will we finally gets servants to the people and constitution? I doubt it.

37

0x054 November 6, 2025 11:48 am

Reply to Kate anon

I'm not sure if it would help, but I don't think anyone should be allowed to contribute to a candidate that could not actually vote for the candidate. No companies, no outside donors, only individual citizens in the politician's district.

👍 57

GB Bari 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:49 pm

🗨️ Reply to 0x054

Reverse the Law that allow PACs to contribute unlimited \$\$\$\$ to political campaigns.

The 2010 Supreme Court decision in Citizens United v. FEC significantly changed the landscape of campaign finance by allowing unlimited independent expenditures by corporations and unions. This ruling led to the rise of HIGHLY CORRUPT super PACs, which have become a major force in political funding, enabling wealthy donors to exert substantial influence over elections.

The law should allow a maximum total of \$2500 per person or per entity – and ONLY PERMIT U.S. CITIZENS or U.S. CITIZEN-OWNED ENTITIES to contribute to any political campaign up to the election. THAT would reduce corruption and foreign interference.

📝 Last edited 5 months ago by GB Bari

👍 46

0x054 🕒 November 6, 2025 1:10 pm

🗨️ Reply to GB Bari

The problem I see with trying to restrict Citizens United, is that it's a violation of the 1st amendment. Anyone should be able to print a flyer for or against or take out a TV or radio add. I don't see how you can regulate it in any reasonable way. Isn't the TV news an advertisement for or against, late night comics as well. You can restrict who can donate money for the direct use of the campaign, but I don't see how you get beyond that.

📝 Last edited 5 months ago by 0x054

👍 4

Trialbytruth 🕒 November 6, 2025 3:28 pm

🗨️ Reply to 0x054

In the case of citizens united. Roberts stretch was that incorporated groups were individuals an entitled.to.the rights.of.a sovereign citizen.

We have already limited individuals.to.2500 why should.2 individuals singing kumbaya be able to give more.then a sovereign citizen.

📝 Last edited 5 months ago by Trialbytruth

👍 6

GB Bari 🕒 November 6, 2025 3:52 pm

 Reply to 0x054

The First Amendment was most definitely not written to protect multinational corporations and the top 0.5% of the population in their use of gargantuan amounts of money to control elections and consequently control government policy and actions even when those actions and policy go directly against what is preferred by an overwhelming majority of citizens.

The entire concept of the Constitution was to protect the INDIVIDUAL rights from a potentially tyrannical government by limiting what government could do versus what individuals can do. Treating corporations and PACs as individuals allows substantial abuse, and removing all limitations on donation amounts automatically reduces the individual citizens' influence in the electoral process and removes most of their ultimate impact on government actions.

The campaign donation rules worked for the entire time prior to 2010 so I (and a LOT of people) reject that Citizens United is the only constitutional path forward. SCOTUS has infrequently made very incorrect decisions, most of which eventually get reversed by a later court. Citizens United should be one that is reversed due the egregiously distorted results it allowed.

 18

0x054  November 6, 2025 4:54 pm

 Reply to GB Bari

I tend to agree, but how does a politician fight back against the entire corporate media machine where every story is slanted or an out right lie? They would have to have a lot of money to take out counter media and they're not going to get that kind of money from small donors. You can eliminate the PACs and Super PACs who run non stop negative ads, but how do you counter six companies deciding the slant of the "news" every night?

 3

Lolly  November 8, 2025 5:55 am

 Reply to 0x054

Trump needs to reinstate the ban against media propagandizing the American people that was lifted by Obama. That would solve this issue.

 0

Anon Amos Rex  November 6, 2025 2:26 pm

 Reply to GB Bari

Yes, this is one of those times R's celebrated a "victory" which has

become a pox on OUR houses!

 4

Trialbytruth  November 6, 2025 3:32 pm

 Reply to Anon Amos Rex

I believe. Obamas SOU was theater. Obama had already cornered wallstreet and.techworld into his fascist/corporist world

 5

Tundra  November 6, 2025 11:22 pm

 Reply to GB Bari

One issue is the undo influence Israeli and Israeli dual citizens have purchased.

This is the reason Rubio is Secretary of State for example.

Eliminating any foreigner or dual citizenship from buying our government would be a step in the right direction.

 4

GB Bari  November 7, 2025 3:36 pm

 Reply to Tundra

True that. However Rubio has otherwise acted strongly positive for President Trump and we can be certain that PDJT is fully aware of Rubio's history of very public strong support for Israel (and his backing by Larry Ellison).

I will wager that when Israeli Zionist sources put obvious & undo pressure on the Trump White House to NOT act in the US's best interests (MAGA agenda items), SoS Rubio will not undermine the President's agenda. That will be seen in the coming 10 months prior to the midterms.

 0

fisheggs  November 6, 2025 2:27 pm

 Reply to 0x054

This is exactly what I have said in the distant past. One would only be allowed to donate to the campaign of the representative in the district you live in, for statewide offices only in the state you reside in. No donating to candidates outside of your district in addition outside of your state. It is absurd that anyone with the means can send money to candidates anywhere in the country to influence elections in districts/states you do not live in.

👍 6

bidenlies 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:52 pm

| 🗨️ Reply to 0x054

It would help, and should have always been the rule. You forgot one entity and that would be political parties, or only the local party without any outside money from the national party. Not sure that would be feasible but it's worth a try. Where I live the local establishment is at odds with the national whereby the national arm of the party sends mega bucks to support a candidate the local arm doesn't want...

👍 4

Littledickens 🕒 November 6, 2025 8:30 pm

| 🗨️ Reply to 0x054

Oh my goodness, that phrase is like nails on a chalk board. Can we please find different one?

👍 0

Taffy3 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:22 pm

| 🗨️ Reply to Kate anon

moved

✎ Last edited 5 months ago by Taffy3

👍 1

bugsdaddy 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:29 pm

| 🗨️ Reply to Kate anon

The unfortunate thing is who is going to ban lobbying of Congress? Congress itself?

My frustration is the same as yours. I keep thinking, if only these idiots (politicians) would get on board with President Trumps agenda, not only will America be in much better shape, they (as individuals) would be doing much better than they could even imagine.

👍 14

Shar 🕒 November 7, 2025 12:35 pm

| 🗨️ Reply to bugsdaddy

You can NOT ban lobbying Congress. It's inherent in the 1st A right to petition the government.

👍 0

usjkash2 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:51 pm

👤 Reply to Kate anon

Documentary process is invaluable to disseminate thos.

We are living in a 'visual stimulation' nearly illiterate and massively inattentive age.

Social media has reduced people to stimulated laboratory rat status with maturity arrested at the dangerously self absorbed level of adolescence.

However, there are breakthroughs among the young and Charlie Kirk's approach has spawned courage in a variety (key point: 'variety' must be reintroduced to people using 'diversity', unaware of the root of 'div' (division – communist and satanic motivation) vs 'var' (variacion- American roots of opportunity for all and rejection of 'class', both victim and elite) of different mediums, one being the very overlooked 'reactor' video content creators.

Having been exposed to the music and film of decades they had been guided to reject as outdated, they have expressed a clear appreciation for genuine 'americana' faith, family and common humanity.

There IS a movement and it is the path back to the court of public opinion, which Jefferson so clearly saw as the refutation of corruption in all branches of the government.

✍️ Last edited 5 months ago by usjkash2

👍 8

Nobody 🕒 November 6, 2025 3:23 pm

👤 Reply to Kate anon

Speaking of liars in Congress, whatever happened with the Awan brothers...

👍 12

Richard Speck 🕒 November 6, 2025 4:25 pm

👤 Reply to Nobody

They sent everything they had to Pakistan and were never prosecuted because they knew too much about both parties.

👍 14

Pat 🕒 November 7, 2025 4:46 pm

👤 Reply to Richard Speck

It would embarrass the Democrats too much were it exposed that the Awan DEI IT hires that DWS had hired for Democrats on Capital Hill, were a) stealing everything they could from them, b) bilking them on hours left and right, c) stealing and selling intelligence on Democrats to Pak ISK, and d) and when volunteered to the DNC during the 2016 campaign ("all hands on deck" thing), downloaded the entire contents of the DNC email server in May 2016 and tried to sell it to

Julian Assange a few weeks later.

Bill Binney was right. The DNC email were downloaded onto a flash memory drive. And the Awans were the one's who did it. Seth Rich found out. Confronted them. Awans freaked, called ISI, and the local ISI agent dispatched Rich using a method that would be expected for Washington DC (random shooting / robbery).

Except the ISI agent(s) failed to "rob" Rich of anything. The rest the evidence involving Seth Rich's murder was buried with general DC Metro Police incompetence, and overall handling by the DNC.

Believe me, the DNC knows who stole those DNC emails. They always did, so the cooked up the "Russia hacker" cover story to cover their asses.

 1

The Gipper Lives  November 6, 2025 4:26 pm

|  Reply to Nobody

They sent them Paking.

 6

Your Tour Guide  November 6, 2025 10:14 pm

|  Reply to Nobody

Is Mary Kalpur (sp) from Cleveland still around? She dealt with the Awans wayyyyyyyy more then anyone else.

 1

Pat  November 7, 2025 4:36 pm

|  Reply to Nobody

The Awans were the 'real Russian hackers' that downloaded the DNC emails. Wasserman-Schultz brought them in to help out at the DNC in early 2016, and they continued their scam of stealing everything that wasn't nailed down.

Seth Rich knew they did it, confronted them, and Pakistani ISI was called to remove Rich who could blowup their pseudo intelligence-theft operation they were running.

Selling intelligence to ISI and ripping off gullible Democrats was their MO.

 3

sejmon  November 6, 2025 4:01 pm

|  Reply to Kate anon

Yes ...there is a solution.....ML... and stop playing f* games....saaadly..where we are...

 1

oldfiredude  November 6, 2025 5:32 pm

|  Reply to Kate anon

“Let them eat cake” is the only solution

<https://www.britannica.com/event/French-Revolution>

 0

Westpointmomma  November 6, 2025 7:22 pm

|  Reply to Kate anon

Needs to include Congress family members. Every AL lawmaker has family members doing this.

 2

maxxheadroom777  November 6, 2025 7:48 pm

|  Reply to Kate anon

oN the subject of ending corrupt and oppressive government, the Declaration of Independence gives explicit tightly reasoned arguments for those suffering under such government to act out against the rot. You could look it up...

 0

GenEarly  November 8, 2025 10:56 pm

|  Reply to Kate anon

“At this point when criminals are still embedded everywhere, there is no way to restore the Republic.” You have answered your question so now prepare for the consequences of it.

We don’t have to like that Reality to Know the Truth of It. I do wish you and and we, Well.

 0

buckeye  November 6, 2025 11:43 am

The government was scared to death of a Trump Presidency. They went to extreme lengths to get rid of him. Why? Were they afraid of sunlight on their evilness and nefarious plans?

What was so shocking to many of us was that they allowed Trump a

second term. Why? Do they have Trump under their control now?

Sundances' fantastic research is creating even more questions that need answering.

 25

TLHoward.  November 6, 2025 12:21 pm

|  Reply to *buckeye*

They tried to kill him, what more do you want?

They may have decided, "We can put up with 4 years, and then he's gone." That is certainly how the Bushies are looking at it now.

 25

srs  November 6, 2025 12:53 pm

|  Reply to *TLHoward.*

I see this as a huge problem. I don't believe anyone will fight the deep state like Trump is. I think they know they can get various levels of buy-in on any future candidates. So I agree 100% and have said this myself — that they're doing everything possible to delay, knowing they can return to uniparty comfort once this annoyance has passed.

They key is for the current Trump administration to (a) blow it as wide open as possible; and (b) Set up a line of succession candidates that adhere to the ideals and can't be bought.

Pretty tall order and (unfortunately) neither likely to be accomplished IMO. But there's at least a chance.

 11

Trialbytruth  November 6, 2025 3:38 pm

|  Reply to *srs*

Hmm I have one perso. In mind who may have e the balls to stand hard. I.pray.I am right
Aloha

 8

melamine  November 6, 2025 5:31 pm

|  Reply to *Trialbytruth*

She also has motive. It's personal.

 6

Oldretiredguy  November 6, 2025 5:02 pm

|  Reply to srs

Correct re fighting the deep state like PDT.

One thing that would be most telling from that Michael Atkinson transcript would be who asked what questions and who tried to give Atkinson every escape route while he was under oath. Of course his sworn testimony would be explosive but seeing who was asking what should paint the lines of the entire criminal conspiracy pretty clearly. Sundance is 100% correct, that transcript would unravel the whole thing.

 4

usjkash2  November 6, 2025 5:25 pm

|  Reply to srs

This is why united we stand has to be injected into social media/identity politics trained know nothings.

Jefferson's reasons for his warnings were prescient

 3

Battleship Wisconsin  November 6, 2025 12:22 pm

|  Reply to buckeye

Of course they were afraid of sunlight on their evilness and nefarious plans. Being afraid of sunlight is a basic element of their evil and nefarious personal characters.

 9

4thamendmentrestored  November 6, 2025 12:25 pm

|  Reply to buckeye

Replacement to obtain compliant to Food Shelter provider/ ignorant of the Constitution people

 1

Taffy3  November 6, 2025 12:27 pm

|  Reply to buckeye

My 2 cents:

PDJT and Co. continue to fight the good fight. But, inasmuch as 'personnel is policy', the DS continues to leverage every opportunity to throw sand in his (our) gears, even if at lower and more discrete administrative levels than previously. This is their defense-in-depth.

If PDJT's election was MAGA-America storming Normandy beach, then...

welcome to the hedgerows.

“Find the bastards, then pile on!” – *11th Armored Cav ‘Blackhorse’ motto*

 17

Strateshooter  November 6, 2025 7:50 pm

|  Reply to *buckeye*

I think potus had to make deal with the ds in order to live.

CIA still top dogs

 0

buckeye  November 6, 2025 11:53 am

Perhaps the Treehouse and sundance need a youtube channel in order to reach more of the people. It could discuss the research and simplify it so that the average person could better understand it.

 3

tax2much  November 6, 2025 12:11 pm

|  Reply to *buckeye*

You tube probably would censor but Rumble would allow the truth.

 13

buckeye  November 6, 2025 3:35 pm

|  Reply to *tax2much*

Let’s try it and find out.

 0

Battleship Wisconsin  November 6, 2025 12:29 pm

|  Reply to *buckeye*

Now that is an interesting idea. But Youtube would censor a CTH channel. If a CTH video podcast of some kind were to be done, a Rumble channel would probably be a better choice.

 Last edited 5 months ago by Battleship Wisconsin

 4

Charles  November 6, 2025 11:55 am

If The Atlantic lists Gurganus as one of their “authors”, you know whose side she’s on.

<https://www.theatlantic.com/author/julia-gurganus>

They even admit she's on sabbatical from the CIA.

👍 11

louche9 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:03 pm

| 🗨 Reply to Charles

Also which side The Atlantic is on.

👍 3

Strateshooter 🕒 November 6, 2025 7:51 pm

| 🗨 Reply to louche9

The Atlantic is team soros

👍 4

joan 🕒 November 6, 2025 11:56 am

Hey guys, I'm running out of popcorn.

👍 4

Battleship Wisconsin 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:16 pm

| 🗨 Reply to joan

Some friendly advice here Lay in a large supply in case the globalists begin manipulating the world popcorn market in order to control its supply and availability, and to increase their own profits.

👍 6

LefttheGOP 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:10 pm





This is what happens when you become a “darling” of the left.

👍 5

Bersey 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:17 pm

In an environment like this, it is better to be feared than respected, and this is why ‘people’ fall out of their windows and balconies in Moscow. It is the only effective way to deal with nefarious elements. We will see if the sunlight that SD provides here, and has done, is effective enough to if not eradicate, then maybe provide lasting and meaningful change.

👍 4

Appalled 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:35 pm

It was on the Chalmette Battlefield in New Orleans during the War of 1812 that Louisiana, with the help of neighboring state militias and Native Americans, vanquished that empire-building spirit embodied in that day as the British Empire. Today the empire-building spirit is embodied in globalism.

It will require Louisiana again, through the actions of House Speaker Mike Johnson, to overcome this spirit. Release the testimony of Intelligence Community Inspector General Michael Atkinson, Speaker Johnson! Release it to the Director of National Intelligence!

👍 13

Flying Farmer Attorney 🕒 November 6, 2025 12:41 pm

🗨️ Reply to Appalled



Battle of New Orleans !

 8

scott s.  November 6, 2025 3:21 pm

|  *Reply to Appalled*

Don't know about "empire-building", but Andrew Jackson, founder of the Democrats, had gone to war against the Creek tribe in Alabama, and after defeating them (battle of Horseshoe Bend) got approval to march with his Tennessee and Kentucky volunteers against British West Florida and its base in Mobile, West Florida.

After taking Mobile he learned of the British attempt to flank him by sea to the west, so marched to New Orleans to engage them there, being joined with some local La militia.

 1

audaciouscat  November 6, 2025 12:50 pm

"John Ratcliffe is now CIA Director. Marco Rubio is now National Security Advisor."

It stinks for sure. 💩

 8

poncedefreon  November 6, 2025 12:56 pm

Again, not only was Ciaramella allowed unprecedented anonymity by the ICIG, but he also was allowed whistleblower status even though he had zero first-hand knowledge of the PDJT/Zelensky phone call.

Vindman was a coward and used Ciaramella for his dirty work. I wonder if Ukraine still wants to recruit him?

 11

Beau Geste  November 6, 2025 1:23 pm

I thought another requirement for whistleblowers you had to witness the issue first hand and not thru hearsay and innuendo ?

 12

Pat  November 6, 2025 1:38 pm

Voter ID, paper ballots, one day voting, no mail in ballots and hand tabulation. That's when we get what's left of our country back.

 18

Galahad  November 6, 2025 1:39 pm

Excellent analysis! Godspeed!

 5

Davecatbone  November 6, 2025 1:40 pm

God bless and protect you Sundance. And may our Blessed Mother grant you the graces to succeed.

 8

D One  November 6, 2025 1:46 pm

I still think Vindman should be recalled to active duty and court-martialed for his involvement in all of this.

 14

gymcy81  November 6, 2025 2:03 pm

 *Reply to D One*

maybe there is an anonymous spot along a ukr front line, or within an encirclement, that someone would uhhh... like real time, first hand information to whisper about, to someone?
Would that be a dependable team mate?

I do not know

imho

 4

HHC 2/16  November 6, 2025 4:40 pm

 *Reply to D One*

I'll gladly process the paperwork for it!

 1

Ma Kettle  November 6, 2025 1:59 pm

Well, this is a do or die moment for Marco Rubio and all his opportunities in 2028.

Does he want to take the chance, shedding the light on this? I actually hope so then we would know everything we ever need to know about him, conclusively.

Mr. Rubio, what's it going to be ? Us and Christ, or them. Time to choose your Master.

Please replace Ratcliff, Mr. President, asap.

👍 16

Maggie 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:01 pm

This link to Svetlana Lokhova twitter account talks about Admiral Mike Rogers and the events involving Rogers going to see newly elected Pres Trump. PDJT then moved his working office to Bedlington and the Deep State tried to get Rogers fired. She has been reading recent emails.
<https://x.com/RealSLokhova/status/1986505090199659018>

👍 2

Maggie 🕒 November 6, 2025 4:13 pm

| 🗨 Reply to Maggie

oops I think it should be Bedminster!

👍 0

Allam 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:03 pm

Thanks for the deep dive on this, Sundance. This is why I check this site every day!

👍 5

DKD 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:18 pm

Thank you Sundance for your Great Work in keeping track of this cast of characters. I remember it all. We all lived through it. Thanks for tracking who's who. I have total trust in Trump's administration to bring this to a crescendo. It's really hard to look at these corrupt individuals let alone listen to them.

I know there is a near earth black hole. They all need to be flung into it... leave us forever. I really appreciate you.

👍 3

FollowingTheScience 🕒 November 6, 2025 6:46 pm

| 🗨 Reply to DKD

Closest black hole to Earth is 1600 light years, at 5.88 trillion miles to the light year. Not close enough, darn it.

👍 0

minnesotamike55 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:22 pm

According to Tartaglione in describing Maurene Comey's effort to use Epstein and make up an accusation to "get Trump", *Maurene Comey said that he didn't have to prove anything, as long as President Trump's people could not disprove it.*

Does anyone think that whole damn Comey family was Not involved in the Eric Ciaramella made up accusations that led to impeachment? This Vindman/Ciaramella conspiracy sounds just like what Tartaglione is saying.

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2025/11/comeys-daughter-maurene-offered-free-jeffrey-epstein-if/>

👍 5

Anon Amos Rex 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:24 pm

Sundances research as a source for Viv Frei on YouTube WATCH

🔗 Last edited 5 months ago by Anon Amos Rex

👍 4

Loren 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:34 pm

The best thing to happen recently, the Federal Government shut down.

Time for some real cuts to the Fed Gov, slash and burn time every bloated bureaucrat agency. All welfare should be allocated to the state's responsibility, no Fed welfare of any kind, then we can watch these pink'o state's burn.

Can we face that DC is so corrupt it needs to be defunded. Takeaway the money and it has to be depolluted, can't have any more welfare Fed employees walking around causing trouble to legitimize their existents. Bored useless freeloaders cause the most damage of all.

👍 4

Dallasdan 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:36 pm

Historians will marvel at the accuracy, depth, and breadth of SD's revelations, and his determination and courage will justifiably make him an icon of patriotism.

👍 7

Kate anon 🕒 November 6, 2025 2:39 pm

Sundance you deserve the medal of freedom for your undaunted loyalty to Trump and the Republic of America. No one reveals the truth as much as you do. I've been reading since late 2015, early 2016. Thank you

👍 14

Daniele Florence ⓘ November 6, 2025 3:10 pm

Here is a journalist who claims to have identified the j6 pipe bomber....apparently a woman working in the FBI and who is still working there.....I am sure Sundance already knows also! Will he be collecting the 50K reward?<https://twitchy.com/samj/2025/11/06/j6-pipebomb-suspect-on-e-of-us-glenn-beck-n2421324>

👍 5

WORDMAN™ ⓘ November 6, 2025 4:17 pm

| 🗨 Reply to Daniele Florence

Karlin Younger

👍 2

4thamendmentrestored ⓘ November 6, 2025 3:46 pm

Why couldn't vindman have whistle blown himself

👍 0

Daniele Florence ⓘ November 6, 2025 3:58 pm

| 🗨 Reply to 4thamendmentrestored

Vindman was not on the phone call, he was relating what a whistle blower had told him (eric ciaramella)....everything was a lie....trump even declassified the phone call with zelinski proving it. I am sure you remember Vindman coming to testify in full uniform even tough at the time he was a civilian.....everything was theatre!

👍 7

Citizen of the Former Republic ⓘ November 6, 2025 4:20 pm

| 🗨 Reply to 4thamendmentrestored

Wow, that's a good question.

Could it be that Vindman would be exposed of viewing/disclosing information that he was not authorized to view/disclose?

Additionally was Vindman required to go through a chain of command superior?

👍 1

keithinmissouri ⓘ November 6, 2025 4:11 pm

Correct me if I am wrong, but a major issue delaying the exposure and preventing (at least to date) any serious prosecution (seditious conspiracy) is that it would reveal that the GOp (e.g., Sea Island crowd) was fully on board with everything Obama's weaponized intelligence agencies were doing to Trump.

👍 11

Einfach Mensch ⓘ November 6, 2025 6:09 pm

So we know more about what President Trump and Russian President Putin have in common – the Langley problem.

👍 1

Robert Kean ⓘ November 6, 2025 6:38 pm

Haspel no doubt is retired on a fat pension. Dirty cop in a skirt.

🔗 Last edited 5 months ago by Robert Kean

👍 1

Wakethewoke ⓘ November 6, 2025 6:56 pm

There was an unvarifiable report that in the days after the 2020 election, a gun fight spilled into the streets of Germany outside the place where U.S. election votes were sent during the tabulation process and Haspel was hit. She was not seen in public for six weeks.

👍 2

Pe7rot Conservative6 ⓘ November 6, 2025 7:02 pm

Current day:

“Eric Ciaramella is a senior fellow in the Russia and Eurasia program at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. His work focuses on Ukraine and Russia.”

<https://carnegieendowment.org/people/eric-ciaramella?lang=en>

He is also a Lawfare contributing editor.

👍 0

Pe7rot Conservative6 ⓘ November 6, 2025 7:09 pm

🗨️ Reply to *Pe7rot Conservative6*

John Ratcliffe, briefly, on the conundrum.



👍 3

Westpointmomma 🕒 November 6, 2025 7:27 pm

Insider trading for congress needs to end.

👍 2

Russ 🕒 November 6, 2025 9:28 pm

Where is Pam Bondi and DOJ? Where are our senators and representatives? Crimes at this level against the basic foundations of our country cannot just be ignored.

👍 1

EZGoer 🕒 November 6, 2025 10:30 pm

Everyone knew Ratcliffe is a rat. Except apparently Trump.

👍 1

LISA 🕒 November 7, 2025 1:52 pm

🗨️ Reply to *EZGoer*

H I don't think Radcliffe is dirty.... he agrees with the sentiments being discussed

👍 0

RhhOnda 🕒 November 7, 2025 12:47 am

I think the language used to describe the actions perpetrated against our Constitution, President Trump, his wife and family, associates and American citizens needs to change!! Using “targeting” and “weaponization” is a weak description of what they have done to us these past 10 years and continue to do. I think we should call it what it is, Rebellion, insurrection.

It is not a few “bad apples” in the F.B.I. as Kash would have you believe, from what I have learning every Alphabet agency and department from A to Zelinsky! is either complicit or a participant.

It’s not just the criminal actions of feral Democrats in Congress, a large herd of Republicans have gone rabid too!
For 10 years now, Democrats perpetrate the crimes, Republicans facilitate. Fact.

And very clearly it’s not just a few rogue Judges editing the Constitution to fit the Prosecution of 93 indictments with evidence manufactured out of thin air or suppressed in a Judges’ chamber or a Prosecutor’s drawers. How many TROs does it take to nullify an election? As many as Lawfare can throw across Chief Justice Roberts plate. It’s a game.

I’ve learned a lot about my government these past 10 years and what I have learned is that EVERY BRANCH of our Federal Constitutional Republic; Executive, Legislative and Judicial is either complicit and or a participant in the crimes against our Constitution, President, his Officers, Family , Associates and Citizens.

10 years ago describing or defining their actions as “targeting” “weaponization” or a “witch hunt” seemed like a pretty good description of what we knew but 10 years later these words don’t even begin to describe the crimes they have committed against us and our country or their motives. I think it looks more like a rebellion, a real insurrection. I wonder if President Trump shares my thoughts. President Trump is a lot smarter than I am and I often believe that if I’m thinking of something, surely he has thought of it too.

By the way, I think we should peacefully and patriotically visit the Capitol again before the mid terms. I think President T rump needs boost and Congress, especially those Republicans need

Thanks for reading. What do you think? MAGA On! 24/7

👍 3

North J 🕒 November 9, 2025 12:27 pm

🗨️ Reply to RhhOnda

Good observation. The nature of the Democrat party is a RICO institution. From looting and murdering to prevent the abolition of slavery in the remaining states, to creating a new country where slavery was legal, to murdering Lincoln, to mass murder of the successful emancipated slaves

who virtually were all republicans, to dominating American political institutions for over a century through Jim Crow violence and anti constitutional lawfare, the Democrat party has always been illegitimate. But just as the mafia gained wealth and power through murderous and thieving means yet is celebrated, same with the Democrat party. All of the things Sundance points out are true but only a small part of the massive evil the Democrat party has injected into America. Yet people continue to look at it as legitimate instead of calling for its total disbanding and abolishment.

 0

RCK354  November 7, 2025 1:18 am

As often as Rubio is seen in many Trump pics, and after what you've just reported, it crossed my mind that maybe Rubio is someone's handler and not the other way around. Hope I'm wrong.

 1

Strateshooter  November 7, 2025 6:56 am

 Reply to RCK354

Rubio and Ratcliffe confuse me. A lot.

 0

Paltry  November 7, 2025 8:04 am

End citizens united, end this mess

 0

JS  November 7, 2025 1:09 pm

How could John Ratcliffe be appointed CIA Chief? It seems he's as slimy as the rest. Its great Tulsi releasing testimony. We have a very sick government.

 Last edited 5 months ago by JS

 0

Professor G  November 8, 2025 8:48 am

Still waiting. Tulsi Gabbard is a hero, just as Strauss and Howe predicted. But most of the big talkers remain as pathetic cowards.

 0

PRAYER REQUESTS

SUPPORT THE TREEHOUSE

- Support The Treehouse -

\$5

\$10

\$15

Other

ADVERTISEMENT

EMAIL SIGN-UP

[Click here for e-mail delivery!](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

CATEGORIES

Select Category



RECENT COMMENTS

Judith on [April 14th – 2026 Presidential Politics – Trump Administration Day 450](#)

Edink on [April 14th – 2026 Presidential Politics – Trump Administration Day 450](#)

Ace ODale on [Vice President JD Vance Discusses Primary Point of Disagreement with Iran Negotiations](#)

SoldiersMom on [April 14th – 2026 Presidential Politics – Trump Administration Day 450](#)

Lulu on [April 14th – 2026 Presidential Politics – Trump Administration Day 450](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

RECENT POSTS

[April 14th – 2026 Presidential Politics – Trump Administration Day 450](#) April 14, 2026

[Tuesday April 14th – Open Thread](#) April 14, 2026

[Vice President JD Vance Discusses Primary Point of Disagreement with Iran Negotiations](#) April 14, 2026

[President Trump Receives Door Dash Delivery and Answers Media Questions](#) April 14, 2026

[Johnny Didn't Bark – But Tulsi Did](#) April 13, 2026

[Promethean Action Follows the Money in the Background of the Iran Conflict](#) April 13, 2026

HISTORICAL CAMPFIRE CONVERSATIONS

Select Month



THE STILL HOUR

“Be still, and know that I am
God: I will be exalted among the
heathen, I will be exalted in the
earth.” – Ps 46:10

